

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,756	01/16/2002	Margit Doerr	01/010 NUT	7841
38263 7	11/14/2005		EXAMINER	
PROPAT, L.L.C. 425-C SOUTH SHARON AMITY ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28211-2841		DAD	WONG, LESLIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/050,756	DOERR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leslie Wong	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	·
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Octo 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	. ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) lnterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/050,756

Art Unit: 1761

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 18, 2005 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are indefinite as to "more harmonious" as it is not clear what is encompassed by "more."

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu et al (JP 10337164 A, JP 09248153 A, and JP 08056607 A) in view of

Application/Control Number: 10/050,756

Art Unit: 1761

Jager et al (DE 19653354 C1) for the reasons previously set forth in the last Office action.

Shimizu et al (JP 10337164 A, JP 09248153 A, and JP 08056607 A) all disclose the use of xylooligosaccharides in beverages including xylobiose and xylotriose as is claimed (see corresponding abstracts).

The claims differ as to the use of an intense sweetener.

Jager et al disclose the use of oligosaccharides to increase the sweetening power and enhance the taste of an acesulfame K/aspartame mixture (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use intense sweeteners such as acesulfame K/aspartame as taught by Jager et al in that of any of the Shimizu et al documents because the use of intense sweeteners in beverages is well-known in the art. In addition, the combination of oligosaccharides with intense sweeteners is well-known.

It is noted that the selection and manipulation of xylooligosaccharides is well-known and well-within the skill of the art. Absent a showing to the contrary, Applicant is using known components to obtain expected results. It is also noted that Applicant does not exclude additional components.

Once the art has recognized the use of xylooligosaccharides in beverages the use and manipulation of any and all xylooligosaccharides would be no more than obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art. The prior art clearly teaches the claimed components for the same function as is claimed. Applicant has not established unexpected results.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner '

Art Unit 1761

LAW November 9, 2005